



Grant & Cooperative Agreement Updates

Kathy Kawamura
Assistant Director
January 14, 2016

NIH Salary Cap

NOT-OD-16-045

- \$185,100 (Executive Level Salary II)
- effective January 10, 2016

APPLICABILITY

- Institutional Base Salary must be \$185,100 or higher
- Competing Awards issued on/after 1/10/16 will be adjusted; additional funds to match new Cap
- Rebudgeting allowed up to new Cap starting 1/10/16

NIH Salary Cap

REMINDERS

PROPOSAL

- Show actual institutional base salary or include language in justification noting institutional base salary is higher than current NIH salary cap; calculation of salary requested in detailed budget against the NIH salary cap

NIH – PHASE 1 (Reminder)

[NOT-OD-16-004](#) – NIH/AHRQ Changes in Policies, Instructions and Forms for 2016 Grant Applications

Phase 1 - All Research & Training Applications w/ due dates **Jan 25th** & later, changes to existing **Forms-C**

Exceptions: C06, G08, G11, G12, G13, G20, R13, S06, S10, S21, SB1, U13, U55, UB1, UC6, UC7, UG4, UH4, X02, and 333; K02, K05, and K24

Phase 2 – Fellowship & Training Grant Applications w/ due dates **May 25th** & later, **NEW Forms-D**

NIH - Phase 1

RIGOR & TRANSPARENCY ([NOT-OD-16-011](#))

- Revision to Research Strategy (Significance, Approach)
- New “Authentication of Key Biological and/or Chemical Resources” – Other Project Information/ Other Attachments (to be moved into Research Plan section for May applications)
- Study section Review of information
- **RPPR** – to address Rigor (submitted Jan 25 or later)

NIH – Rigor & Reproducibility

Reminder: Effective January 25, 2016

NIH Resources:

[Rigor & Reproducibility FAQs](#)

[Rigor & Reproducibility Overview](#)

[Rigor & Reproducibility Video](#)

NIH Policy: Rigor and Transparency - Module 1 (00:04 / 33:19) Resources Exit

NIH Policy: Enhancing Reproducibility through Rigor and Transparency



reproducibility@nih.gov

Menu Notes

1. NIH Policy: Enhancing Reproducibility through Rigor and Transparency
2. How to Navigate This Presentation
3. Rigor and Transparency: Module 1
4. Training Objectives
5. NIH Policy
6. The Problem
7. Sex as a Biological Variable
8. Resource Authentication
9. Deliberative Efforts
10. Principles and Guidelines for Reporting Preclinical Research
11. Pilot Programs
12. Communicating NIH Policies
13. Key Areas
14. Scientific Premise
15. Example: Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS)
16. Sample Size vs. Significance
17. Paper: A Call for Transparent Reporting
18. Scientific Premise
19. Scientific Premise for Innovative Research
20. Updated Application Instructions: Scientific Premise
21. Updated Review

NIH - Phase 1

VERTEBRATE ANIMALS ([NOT-OD-16-006](#))

- Updated guidance on criteria to be addressed (description of procedures, justifications, minimization of pain and distress, and euthanasia)
- Description of veterinary care is no longer required
- Justification for the number of animals has been eliminated
- Description and justification of the method of euthanasia is required only if the method is not consistent with AVMA guidelines

DEFINITION OF CHILDREN ([NOT-OD-16-010](#))

- Revised from under 18 to under 21 years old
 - ** does not apply to AHRQ applications*

NIH - Phase 1

RESEARCH TRAINING

Changes to descriptive content included in the following sections:

- Recruitment & Retention Plan to Enhance Diversity
- Human Subjects
- Vertebrate Animals
- Progress Report

NSF - PAPPG



National Science Foundation
WHERE DISCOVERIES BEGIN

Reminder: NEW Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide (NSF 16-1)

Effective : January 25, 2016 for proposals submitted by or awards made on or after

MASTER TRAINING

<http://www.research.ucla.edu/ocga/training-calendar.html>

NEW SCHEDULE for 2016!

Wednesday

9:30-11:00 am

11000 Kinross Avenue, Suite 210

MASTER TRAINING

<http://www.research.ucla.edu/ocga/training-calendar.html>

JANUARY

Filling out the EPASS: What is it, when is it required and how to complete

Wednesday, January 27, 2016

Kinross, Suite 210

9:30-11:00 am

This session will address the background and purpose of the EPASS. We will review and discuss each section of the EPASS with specific examples of questions from users. This session is appropriate for anyone with responsibility for reviewing or processing EPASS forms.



Follow us on Twitter: [@UCLAocga](https://twitter.com/UCLAocga)

MASTER TRAINING

<http://www.research.ucla.edu/ocga/training-calendar.html>

FEBRUARY

Contracts 101

Wednesday, February 24, 2016

Kinross, Suite 210

9:30-11:00 am

This session will identify the elements which distinguish a grant from a contract and provide an overview of basic contractual components as it relates to sponsored project activities. Attendees will learn the differences between cost-reimbursable and fixed price agreements and be provided information relating to proposal development (cost proposals, bids, etc.), contractual obligations (FAR clauses, certifications, restrictions, etc.) and monitoring/management of contracts (reporting requirements, restrictions, etc.).

MASTER TRAINING

<http://www.research.ucla.edu/ocga/training-calendar.html>

MARCH

OCGA Outgoing Subaward Basics

Wednesday, March 23, 2016

Kinross, Suite 210

9:30-11:00 am

This session will discuss OCGA's process of Outgoing Subawards. Will address definitions, including distinguishing between a subrecipient and a contractor. Included will be a review of the updated outgoing subaward forms. This session is appropriate for anyone with responsibility for issuing outgoing subawards.